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**INTRODUCTION:** In DNA double helices, hydrogen bonds connect the base pairs across the two strands, and stacking bonds act along the helical axis between neighboring base pairs. Our understanding of DNA and the way it is processed in biology would profit from improved knowledge about the elementary bonds in DNA. Detailed knowledge of the time scales for breaking and forming individual base pairs and base-pair stacks would also help to make more informed decisions in the design of dynamic DNA-based nanoscale devices.

**RATIONALE:** The goal of this work is to measure the dynamics of DNA base-pair stacking at the level of individual base-pair steps. Because stacking interactions act perpendicularly to the hydrogen bonds, it should be possible to use mechanical forces to break stacking while leaving hydrogen bonds intact. To realize such measurements, we combine the positioning capabilities of DNA origami with single-molecule manipulation, as enabled by dual-beam optical traps. To make the weak single-base-pair stacking interactions experimentally accessible, we prepare parallel arrays of blunt-end DNA double helices to take advantage of avidity effects when these arrays form stacking interactions (see the figure). Our design allowed controlling the number and the sequences of the base-pair stacks. Noise-suppressing by stiff DNA origami beams connected by a flexible polymer tether enabled the repeated detection of unbinding and rebinding of stacking contacts at low forces (down to 2 piconewtons) with high time resolution (up to 1 kHz).

**RESULTS:** We sampled all 16 sequence combinations of installing a particular interfacial base pair on the array on the left beam and another base pair in the array on the right beam, and we created arrays with two, four, and six blunt ends. We could measure the force-dependent lifetimes for all base-pair step sequence combinations in the presence of 20 mM MgCl₂, which is a condition typically used in DNA nanotechnology. For a subset of base-pair step combinations, we also obtained data in the presence of 500 mM NaCl, which mimics the conditions in the cell nucleus. The base-pair stack arrays spontaneously dissociated at average rates ranging from 0.02 to 500 per second, where the dissociation time scale strongly depended on the sequence combination and the stack array size. For a given sequence combination, larger array sizes always had larger lifetimes, as expected from avidity. Another key feature revealed in the lifetime data was the low sensitivity of the stacking interactions on the extent of pulling force. This phenomenon reflects short-ranged interaction potentials. Concerning rebinding of the stack arrays, we found that the rebinding kinetics depended much more strongly on the applied force, which may be understood by considering that rebinding of the stacks requires a thermally activated contraction of the flexible tether—which was the same for all variants—against an opposing force tether contraction. However, the rebinding kinetics was independent, within experimental error, of the base-pair step sequence combination and the size of the array under study. We used a model to estimate the free-energy increments per single base-pair stack from the kinetic rates that we measured with stack arrays. The free-energy increments per stack ranged from ~0.8 to ~3.4 kilocalories per mole. Our data reveals a trend in the stacking-strength hierarchy that may be associated with the extent of geometrical atomic overlap between the bases within a base-pair step.

**CONCLUSION:** Our data provides a quantitative basis for the rational design of dynamic DNA-based nanoscale machines and assemblies. Nanotechnologists can directly read off the expected lifetimes of stack arrays for all sequence combinations and for various array sizes and at salt conditions that are commonly used in the field. With this data, design solutions for transition kinetics may be generated that cover several orders of magnitudes in lifetime, from milliseconds to several seconds. The sequence-resolved information obtained in our experiments may inform kinetic models of DNA hybridization and may help in adjusting force fields to perform more realistic molecular dynamics simulations. More generally, our experimental methods advance the capabilities of single-molecule mechanical experiments. Using the tethered-beam system, target molecules may be placed and exposed in controlled orientations and stoichiometry in order to study the weak forces occurring between them in solution. A variety of interactions between various kinds of molecules may be studied in the future due to the modularity and the addressability of the DNA origami–based tethered-beam system.
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Single-molecule dissection of stacking forces in DNA

Fabian Kilchherr, Christian Wachau, Benjamin Pelz, Matthias Rief, Martin Zacharias, Hendrik Dietz

We directly measured at the single-molecule level the forces and lifetimes of DNA base-pair stacking interactions for all stack sequence combinations. Our experimental approach combined dual-beam optical tweezers with DNA origami components to allow positioning of blunt-end DNA helices so that the weak stacking force could be isolated. Base-pair stack arrays that lacked a covalent backbone connection spontaneously dissociated at average rates ranging from 0.02 to 500 per second, depending on the sequence combination and stack array size. Forces in the range from 2 to 8 piconewtons that act along the helical direction only mildly accelerated the stochastic unstacking process. The free-energy increments per stack that we estimate from the measured forward and backward kinetic rates ranged from −0.8 to −3.4 kilocalories per mole, depending on the sequence combination. Our data contributes to understanding the mechanics of DNA processing in biology, and it is helpful for designing the kinetics of DNA-based nanoscale devices according to user specifications.

Because stacking interactions act perpendicularly to the hydrogen bonds, it should be possible to use mechanical forces to break stacking while leaving hydrogen bonds intact. We thus combine the capabilities of DNA nanotechnology, which allow positioning of molecules with atomic precision (8), with single-molecule force spectroscopy to manipulate molecular interactions in controlled spatial directions. Previous single-molecule mechanical assays based on atomic force microscopy (9, 10), optical traps (11–14), and magnetic tweezers (15–17) have provided important insight into the mechanics of DNA and into the folding energy landscapes of DNA secondary structures (18–20). However, the previous experiments measured the total contribution of all interactions stabilizing long DNA duplexes and lacked the control to break or form individual DNA base-pair steps. "Experimental design"

To enable a direct mechanical investigation of base-pair stacking forces on the level of individual DNA base pairs (Fig. 1A), without breaking hydrogen bonds, we have combined the molecular-level positioning capabilities of DNA origami-based nanotechnology (21–23) with the single-molecule manipulation capabilities of a dual-beam optical trap (24) (Fig. 1). Our experimental design integrates three components: (i) parallel arrays of base-pair stacks to rationally exploit avidity effects (Fig. 1B) for moving the weak individual stacking interactions into the force regimes and time scales that are experimentally accessible for the optical trap; (ii) stiff DNA beams to link the stack arrays to the optically trapped beads (Fig. 1C), where the beams have noise-suppressing capabilities (20) to support the detection of unbinding and rebinding events occurring at extremely low forces (down to 2 pN) with fast kinetics (up to 1 kHz); (iii) a user-defined flexible tether linking the two beams (Fig. 1C), which enables the repeated observation and classification of unbinding and rebinding events, as seen previously with peptide linkers (25, 26) and long duplex DNA loops (27). "Design details of blunt-end arrays"

The 10-helix DNA origami beams that we used in our experiments had persistence lengths \( p \) well above 3.5 \( \mu \)m (20) at a total length \( L \) of 250 nm per beam. Based on the high tangent angle correlation according to \( \exp(-L/p) \), the beams were thus firmly in the rigid rod regime. The cantilevered blunt-end duplex DNA segments typically had a length of around three to four helical turns. Within the arrays of cantilevered, blunt-end DNA double helices (Fig. 1C, insets), the protruding helices were also coupled via lateral strand linkages to minimize translations and rotations of the interfacial blunt ends (fig. S1) (28). Given the persistence length of single-duplex DNA, which is on the order of 15 helical turns, and ignoring the fact that the cantilevered segments were further stabilized by lateral coupling, the protruding blunt-end arrays were also in the rigid rod regime. The cantilevered helices on the two opposing beam interfaces were also designed to be in helical register. Our design thus templates the formation of backbone-interrupted but geometrically continuous B-form DNA helices through base-pair stacking interactions that engage when the two opposing and cantilevered blunt-end arrays come into contact (Fig. 1C, bottom inset). The design also allows controlling the number and the sequences of the interfacial base pairs (fig. S2). In total, we prepared 50 distinct variants (figs. S3 to S8) that sampled all 16 possible combinations of installing a particular interfacial base-pair type on the array on the left beam and another type in the array on the right beam. Some of those variants were identical at the interface (dyad pairs with point-mirrored sequences), which we considered a desirable redundancy. For each particular sequence combination, we created arrays with two, four, and six blunt ends on either beam interface. Each blunt end in an array had the same terminal base-pair sequence. For the sequence combinations (TA:GC) and (GC:TA) we also created arrays with eight interfacial blunt-end contacts. "TEM imaging"

To illustrate the function of the tethered-beam variants, we imaged exemplarily several variants (figs. S9 and S10) using negative-staining transmission electron microscopy (TEM). One control variant (“open”): (fig. S10C) lacked interfacial blunt ends (Fig. 2A). The TEM data of the open variant shows flexibly tethered particles where the orientation of one beam correlates poorly with the orientation of the other beam of the construct. Another control variant (“hybridized”) (fig. S10B) had stable double-helic connections...
between the two beams, as realized via four complementary sticky ends that bridge the two beams (Fig. 2B). TEM data of the hybridized variant revealed particles with a rigid-beam–like appearance in which the orientation of one beam correlates strongly with that of the other beam. Finally, we also imaged several of our stacking variants (Fig. 2C) (see also figs. S11 and S12 for images of other variants with the longer beams used in the single-molecule mechanical experiments (29)). In the TEM data obtained with stacking variants, we observed both open particles and particles with a rigid-beam–like appearance resembling those of the hybridized variant. The appearance of the linkage between the beams agreed well with the expected appearance based on the design of the variant (see arrows in Fig. 2, B and C). The TEM data therefore supports that our tethered-beam particles featuring arrays of blunt ends function as desired: When the stacking bonds between the beam interfaces are engaged, a rigid-beam–like state is adopted in which the stacking mediates geometrical and mechanical properties that are similar to those mediated by continuous double-helical DNA domains. If the stacking bonds are broken, the particles extend and become much more flexible.

**Electrophoretic mobility analysis**

The difference in effective size of the particles with engaged versus open stacking bonds affects their electrophoretic mobility (fig. S13), which allowed us to analyze the conformational equilibrium of the full 500-nm-long stacking variants on the ensemble level in a gel shift assay (Fig. 2D). Twenty-seven variants migrated in a sharp band with the high mobility that is associated with the closed, rigid-beam–like conformation [e.g., 4x (AT:CG) and 6x (AT:CG)]. Thirteen variants migrated in a band with the low mobility that corresponds to the open, flexible conformation [e.g., 2x (CG:AT) and 4x (CG:AT)]. Ten variants migrated in a less-well-defined band, with mobility in between those of the open and the closed conformation. The gel data reflects a strong dependency of the conformational equilibrium on the particular stack sequence combination, as previously seen for individual nicked DNA duplexes (29) and on the array size in a variant. When sorting the gel lanes according to the mobility of the leading band (Fig. 2E), going from low mobility (open states, weak stacking) to high mobility (closed states, strong stacking), it becomes apparent that the greater the number of stacking bonds in a variant, the more sequence configurations firmly populate the closed state, reflecting the desired avidity effects.

**Mechanics of stack arrays and lifetime measurements**

We used a dual-beam optical trap to study the force-extension response and the force-dependent transition kinetics of our stacking variants on the single-particle level. Consistent with their design, the stacking variants exhibited the nonlinear force-extension response of multihelix bundles (20) and reversible transitions between a short and noise-reduced state and a longer, noise-enhanced state (Fig. 3A). The change in extension was consistent with the properties of the designed tether (figs. S14 and S15). The difference in noise amplitude at the same forces (Fig. 3A, red arrows) reflects the reversible change in the mechanics of the particles between the shorter, rigid-beam–like state with closed stacks and low noise and the extended, more flexible state with broken stacks and increased noise. Exemplary force-extension data obtained for the four base-pair stacking configurations that may be created with the two bases A and T, namely (AT:TA), (TA:AT), (AT:AT), and (TA:TA) illustrate the broad spectrum of mechanical stability and transition kinetics that we observed among the variants studied, including saw-tooth–like out-of-equilibrium unstacking at high forces and restacking at low forces (Fig. 3A) over close-to-equilibrium flips at intermediate forces (Fig. 3, B and C) to plateau-like rapid equilibrium transitions at low forces (Fig. 3D). The force versus extension data verified the integrity of our stacking variants but was not evaluated to extract bond lifetimes.

Instead, to focus on the particular force regime at which transitions occur as seen in the force versus extension data (Fig. 3, B and C, insets), we studied the stacking variants at different, but constant, trap distances. At each constant trap distance, we observed transitions between the open and closed states and measured the time
the system dwells in each of the two states (Fig. 3, E and F). The equilibrium between the two states, as well as the force exerted on the particles in each conformational state, depends on the particular value of the constant trap distance. This type of experiment is suited particularly for measuring rapid transitions without limitations from the finite delay time of a force-feedback system (30). It enabled us to determine stacking lifetimes over four orders of magnitude, ranging from milliseconds to several seconds (Fig. 3G), where the system became limited by drift and other disturbances. Altogether, 31 out of 50 variants gave measurable transitions in the presence of 20 mM magnesium chloride, where the remaining variants either were too stable or too fragile to collect transitions with our assay. Among those variants were all 16 possible stacking sequence combinations (when taking into account identity of left versus right beam). Fifteen sequence combinations occur in two different array sizes, either two and four, four and six, or six and eight stacks. For another subset of nine variants, we also collected lifetime versus force data in the presence of 500 mM sodium chloride, which is a model for the physiological concentration of monovalent cations in the cell nucleus (31). To extract the lifetimes of the stacked and unstacked states as a function of force, we analyzed in total more than two million dwells. The transition statistics varies among the dynamic stacking variants because of fast versus slow kinetics.

**Force-dependent transition kinetics of stack arrays**

The average lifetimes of the unbound states with extended tether and broken stacks were comparable (within experimental error) across all variants that we tested (figs. S16 to S18). This observation indicates sequence-independent recombination rates. The rebinding of the stack arrays also had a pronounced dependency on force, which may be understood by considering that rebinding of the stacks requires a thermally activated contraction of the flexible tether—which was the same for all variants—against an opposing force. The average lifetimes of the stacked states, however, provided a much more diverse picture (Fig. 4, A and B). The total range of lifetimes of the stacked states covers almost five orders of magnitude, from milliseconds to tens of seconds. Larger array sizes always have substantially longer lifetimes than smaller array sizes for a given base-pair step sequence combination, consistent with the expectation based on avidity. We note that in the case of larger array sizes, partial restacking of lateral shifts in the stacking contacts may occur occasionally. The lifetime data also reflect a strong dependency of unstacking on the particular sequence combination of the base-pair steps (Fig. 4A). Consider the stark contrast in the kinetics of unstacking (TA:GC) versus (GC:CG) base-pair steps: Six (TA:GC) steps in parallel together still make only quite transient bonds that last on average less than 10 ms. By contrast, two (GC:CG) steps were already much more stable, lasting nearly 1 s. In fact, lifetimes of the stacked states cover almost five orders of magnitude, from milliseconds to tens of seconds. Larger array sizes always have substantially longer lifetimes than smaller array sizes for a given base-pair step sequence combination, consistent with the expectation based on avidity. We note that in the case of larger array sizes, partial restacking of lateral shifts in the stacking contacts may occur occasionally. The lifetime data also reflect a strong dependency of unstacking on the particular sequence combination of the base-pair steps (Fig. 4A). Consider the stark contrast in the kinetics of unstacking (TA:GC) versus (GC:CG) base-pair steps: Six (TA:GC) steps in parallel together still make only quite transient bonds that last on average less than 10 ms. By contrast, two (GC:CG) steps were already much more stable, lasting nearly 1 s. In fact, (GC:CG) base-pair steps were already much more stable, lasting nearly 1 s. In fact, (GC:CG) base-pair steps.
steps had such long lifetimes that we had to change the salt concentration to study transitions with variants that had more than two (GC:CG) pairs. Our data revealed that swapping the sequence led to strong differences in the lifetime of the stacking interaction, because five out of six swapped sequence pairs had lifetime differences that spanned several orders of magnitude (fig. S20). The data on swapped sequence pairs underlines the sensitivity of our assay for the details of the interfacial stacking interactions.

**Low force sensitivity**

Another feature revealed in our lifetime data is the overall low sensitivity of stacking contacts on the extent of pulling force in the helical direction. Increasing the force by 2 pN on the most force-sensitive of our stacking variants (TA:CG) leads to a lifetime reduction by merely a factor of 3. The most insensitive variant was the one with four (CG:CG) stacks whose lifetime was essentially independent of the applied force. Therefore, pulling on DNA base-pair stacks along the helical direction with biologically relevant forces in the <10-pN range hardly accelerated stack dissociation.

The low force dependence indicates short-range interactions with transition states in subnanometer lifetimes within one order of magnitude in the force-dependent lifetime. Because we did not detect appreciable differences in the rebinding force-extension data of single tethered-beam particles featuring the other three possible stacking combinations of A and T in arrays with four stacks. Insets show the transition regime. The point-mirrored combinations (AT:AT) versus (TA:TA) [(B) versus (C)] have comparable lifetimes (Fig. 4, inset), occurring at 4 pN. The least stable variant (TA:AT) unstacks and restacks in apparent equilibration as reflected in a plateau-like transition. (TA:AT) is sequence-swapped compared with the (AT:TA) variant [see (A)]. (E and F) Constant trap-to-trap distance data of single particles with four versus six (AT:AT) stacking contacts. The particles transition reversibly between two states. “c” and “o” denote the shorter, closed state with intact stacking bonds versus the longer, open state, respectively. Increasing the force reduces the frequency of occurrence and the duration of the stacked states (bottom to top). The increase in array size from four to six stacks has a drastic effect on the overall transition kinetics. (G) Cumulative dwell time histogram of the closed states with intact stacking bonds.
Fig. 4. Average lifetime of base-pair stack arrays as a function of force. (A and B) Average stack array bound-state lifetime versus applied force data branches as determined from single particle data obtained in the presence of 20 mM MgCl₂ (A) versus 500 mM NaCl (B) for all possible stack sequence combinations and for various array sizes (red circles, two stacks; orange circles, four stacks; blue circles, six stacks; green circles, eight stacks). Solid lines are exponential fits. For the variants with particularly long lifetimes in the >1 s regime, drift and optical trap contamination limited the overall measurement time, which in turn resulted in low transition statistics per force value. In these cases, no force-resolved lifetime averages were calculated. Instead, given the generally weak dependency on force, we used the overall mean. Dashed lines give a guide to the eye. Note the effect of salt concentration on the average lifetime: e.g., the variant with four AT:TA stacks has the overall longest lifetime in 20 mM MgCl₂ but ranks among the variants with the shortest lifetime in the presence of 500 mM NaCl. The rebinding lifetime versus force data are given in figs. S16 and S17. See supplementary materials section 1.6.1 for details, figs. S29 to S31, and tables S1 to S5 for a list of parameters from individual fits and global fits (28). At a stacking contact, our constructs feature the phosphates contributed by the scaffold strand. Blunt-end forming DNA oligonucleotides did not contain terminal phosphates.
distances to the bound states (33). The low force sensitivity of stacking bonds observed herein may be contrasted with the much larger force sensitivity for unzipping of nucleic acid hairpins (19), where transition state distances depend on the sequences and stem lengths of the hairpins and can be several nanometers long, as expected for transitions that involve the breaking of several base pairs and base-pair stacks in series.

**Molecular mechanics of stack disruption**

To study the molecular details associated with the disruption of a blunt-end stack and to address the origin of the insensitivity of unstacking base-pair steps through axial pulling forces, we investigated exemplarily (CG:GC) base-pair step disruption using molecular dynamics umbrella sampling (Fig. 5). Two (CG:GC) base-pair step stacking units were disrupted along a helical axis pulling direction, allowing calculation of a potential of mean force (PMF) (free energy change) along the direction of separation (Fig. 5A). The PMF was comparable to those computed previously for the end-to-end attraction between individual DNA duplexes (34). The free-energy change reached a plateau upon separation by ~0.6 nm relative to the free-energy minimum (unstacking completed). The magnitude of the calculated PMF depends on the restraints to align the DNA molecules with respect to the pulling direction [see methods for details (28)]. A sequential disruption of the two blunt-end stacks was observed (disruption of the first base-pair stack at ~0.3 nm separation). Interestingly, during the onset of the dissociation of the first blunt-end stacking contact, a dramatic increase in the base-pair motion orthogonal to the pulling direction was already observed (Fig. 5A, inset), with amplitudes much larger than the shift-and-slide motion of a regular base-pair stacking contact. The orthogonal motions increased even further during disruption of the second blunt-end stack (see snapshots in Fig. 5B).
conclude that the motions orthogonal to the pulling direction promote onset of dissociation caused by stack overlap reduction, similar to that seen previously for the end-to-end dissociation of individual DNA duplexes (34). Because the motions occur orthogonally to the direction of the applied force, they are hardly influenced by the actual magnitude of the force, which concurs with our experimental observation of force-insensitive unstacking kinetics (Fig. 4, A and B).

**Discussion**

Because we have measured forward and backward rates of arrays of base-pair stacks in equilibrium, we can attempt an estimate of the equilibrium free-energy differences for unstacking a single base-pair step. To this end, we use a constant rebinding rate informed by our experimental observation of comparable rebinding kinetics independent of sequence and array size (figs. S16 to S18), and we use average bound-state lifetimes extrapolated to zero force (Fig. 4). For our estimate, we further assume additive free energies per stacking bond within an array, and we ignore a potential penalty for forming the bond state. Based on the rates and these assumptions, we computed the free-energy increments per single stack for all stack arrays that we measured (supplementary materials section 1.6.2). The free energy increments per stack were largely independent of the total number of stacks, and there is no clear trend visible that argues against our simplifying assumptions (fig. S21). The free-energy differences obtained from different array sizes were averaged, and the energies from dyad sequences were also averaged. The free-energy increments that we thus obtained (Fig. 6A) from our single-molecule transition rates in equilibrium and under native solution conditions correlate with the extent of geometrical atomic overlap between the bases within a base-pair step (see, for illustration, fig. S24) (28), which also holds for the outlier from the chemical backbone hierarchy trend ([CG:GC] in Fig. 6A).

The data obtained experimentally with multiple base-pair stacks in parallel enable estimating the average dissociation rates for a single stack (Fig. 6B). For the estimate, we considered the stack arrays as a two-state system with additive free energies per stack, as above. The statistical treatment as a two-state system is supported by the observation that the lifetimes of many of the variants that we studied were distributed in a single exponential fashion. In particular, for arrays with six and eight stacks, deviations from a two-state-like behavior could have been expected due to large bond numbers, which was not the case. According to our estimate, the bonds between individual base-pair stacks break stochastically with average rates that vary between 500 s⁻¹ for the most stable (GC:CG) combination and up to 50,000 s⁻¹ for the most transient combinations (TA:AT), (CG:AT), and (TA:GC). The average dissociation rate per base-pair stack is on the order of 15 thousand events per second at our conditions. The dissociation and association rates are salt dependent (figs. S25 and S26). We note that the estimated single-stack dissociation rates are consistent with the high speeds at which DNA replication occurs in vivo (e.g., several thousand base pairs per second in *Escherichia coli*). Given also our finding that forces below 10 pN in the helical direction do not substantially accelerate the breaking of base-pair stacks, we speculate whether stepwise strand separation during the replication could be considered as a Brownian-ratchet–like process with forward bias, in which the enzymes involved exploit spontaneous DNA base-pair–stack opening, rather than actively breaking DNA base pairs in the helical direction. However, forces orthogonal to the helical direction could potentially have a strong influence on unstacking kinetics.

Assuming that the sequence dependence of DNA double-strand formation is determined by differences in stacking and base pairing (mainly hydrogen bonding), one can use next-neighbour DNA stability parameters (37) and our stacking parameters to estimate the hydrogen bonding contribution. However, the analysis involves a salt correction (38), and the calculated hydrogen bonding contribution can only be interpreted as an extracted trend (fig. S27) (28). According to this trend, the hydrogen bonding contribution is more favorable for GC than for AT base pairs.

**Outlook**

Our experimental methods that use molecularly precise DNA origami components expand the capabilities of single-molecule mechanical experiments. Target molecular surfaces may be placed and exposed in controlled orientations and stoichiometry to study the weak forces occurring between them in solution. A variety of interactions between various kinds of molecules may be studied in the future, due to the modularity and the addressability of the DNA origami–based tethered-beam system. The sequence-resolved kinetic information obtained in our experiments may inform models of DNA hybridization that are currently being developed (39). The insights obtained about stacking forces may help adjusting force fields to perform more realistic molecular dynamics simulations (40). Our data also provides a quantitative basis for the rational design of dynamic DNA-based nanoscale machines and assemblies (7, 32, 44), because the stacking free energies and the kinetic parameters can inform the design of conformational equilibria and the timing of transition kinetics according to user specifications.

**Materials and methods**

**Design and preparation of tethered-beam platforms**

The tethered-beam platforms were designed with caDNAno v0.1 (23). The two beams were folded individually, then agarose-gel purified, dimerized, and the dimers were again agarose-gel purified. Staple oligonucleotides (each at 200 nM) and the scaffold DNA (50 nM) were mixed in folding buffer containing 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM NaCl, 5 mM TRIS-Base, and 20 mM MgCl₂ at pH 8. Before the dimerization incubation, the concentration of the constructs was increased about five-fold using a PEG-precipitation step (42).

**Electrophoretic ensemble analysis**

The variants were electrophoresed on agarose gels after the dimerization reaction. The gels were laser-scanned in two channels (excitation at 635 nm, emission >665 nm, and excitation at 532 nm, emission between 520 nm and 540 nm) to measure the fluorescence of the dye labels included on each of the two beams of the tethered-beam platform.

**TEM**

5 µl of sample solution was applied to the carbon side of formvar-supported plasmid-cleaved Cu400 copper grids (Science services) and incubated for 0.5 to 3 min. The samples were stained with a 2% uranyl formate solution containing 25 mM sodium hydroxide. The structures were imaged with a Philips CM100 electron microscope operated at 100 kV equipped with an AMT 4 Megapixel CCD camera.

**Single-molecule measurements**

Experiments were performed with a custom-built optical tweezers setup with back focal plane detection. The setup is described in detail elsewhere (24, 43). The calibration was performed as described previously (44). The measurements were conducted in a dumbbell assay (45, 46). The measurements were carried out in the presence of an oxygen scavenger system (47). State assignment was performed using either threshold analysis or using hidden Markov modeling (48). Force-dependent lifetimes of open and closed states of all measured variants were fitted with the Bell
Model (49). A previously described trap-stiffness correction was applied (30, 50).

**Molecular dynamics free-energy simulations**

For the generation of the starting structure, two B-DNA duplex molecules aligned along the z axis were placed in close vicinity with respect to the backbone segment of the central nucleotides (fig. S2b). The generated new strand arrangement was energy minimized and solvated (TIP3P water (47)). Neutralization of the system by addition of counter- and co-ions was done using the Amberff99 (52). The mutation along the dissociation direction (z direction) was controlled by a quadratic-cubic of mass distance restraint between the two segments. The free-energy change along the coordinate was calculated using the weighted histogram analysis method (53). The Curves+ program (54) was used for the analysis of helical fluctuations of the construct by treating the two stacked dupes as two continuous helices in the Curves setup.
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